

1st October 2020

FAO. Nesil Caliskan
and Geoff Waterton, Head of Service – Assessment and collection services
Enfield Council
Dear Mr Waterton

Council Tax – Disputed

Dear Nesil and Geoff

I received, a couple of weeks ago, a demand for payment of the full year's Council Tax that threatened legal action within 7 days. Like before, as explained in my letter of 29th June 2020, the date of receipt was after the 7 day ultimatum had expired.

In that letter, I agreed to pay one third of the Council Tax, in accordance with the previously accepted monthly schedule. The grounds on which I refuse to pay the full Council Tax were explained in the letter.

This demand for total payment is arbitrary and unjust, particularly in the light of no response to my email of 6th August 2020, attaching the letter and supporting evidence, other than an acknowledgement from Fathama Khan in Nesil's office. That acknowledgement was marked *Classification: OFFICIAL – SENSITIVE*.

It was clearly not sufficiently sensitive to prevent the issue of another demand with menaces.

Since writing in June, evidence to support the claims in my letter continues to accumulate.

The sabotage of the local and global economy continues with plunder and structural violence described in the paper, *COVID19: Plunder and Population Reduction*. GDP figures, which don't yet begin to reflect the inevitable consequences of Enfield Council's and the UK government's unjustified actions, illustrate the extent of the damage so far; worse is yet to come.

“Compared with the same quarter a year ago, the UK economy fell by a revised 21.5%”

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/grossdomesticproductgdp/bulletins/quarterlynationalaccounts/apriltojune2020>

In the summary of those statistics, the savings ratio shows a dramatic leap to 29.1%. This is not people saving money in the accepted sense but the reduction of consumer debt and accumulation of cash from COVID loans and furlough payments, all of which are being phased out. It is a further indication of the rapid decline in the velocity of money in circulation which reflects and contributes to the inevitable slump in economic activity. It is a vicious circle.

The global COVID-19 action plan is in plain site for all to see and forms part of the UN's Agendas 21/2030, the purpose of which sound warm and fuzzy but are, in reality, destructive and abusive.

<https://intelligence.weforum.org/topics/a1Gb00000038pHMEAY?tab=publications>

Thus, Enfield Council is not acting in the interests of those who live in Enfield but in accordance with a global plan that prejudices all our futures and those of our children.

Illustrative of giving priority to the global agenda rather than local need is the Local Plan disclosed to Enfield residents in November 2018.

“Clues to the agenda are found in Enfield Council's various planning documents that relate to population increases and housing development. In short, under direction from above, the Council is planning for increases in the local population and planning some 52,500 new "homes" over the next 15 years - 3,500 per annum within a space constrained borough. Where do these numbers come from? Enfield has been told to provide this amount of housing by the London Mayor, Sadiq Khan, who in turn, is taking orders from the UN/EU.” <http://www.freecriticalthinking.org/daily-pickings/2851-global-agenda-applied-locally>.

The rapid re-engineering roads, pavement and cycle lanes, under the cover of this fabricated pandemic, is in full alignment with Agenda 2030 – the objective is to eliminate private car ownership and the freedom it affords. For example, the recent, arbitrary cutting off of most of the roads between Fox Lane and Aldermans Hill has increased travelling times and concentrated traffic into the reduced width of Green Lanes at Palmers Green, thereby increasing pollution and nuisance while decreasing trade because parking is greatly reduced. Another example is the “Social [psychological and physical] Distancing” barriers at the four-way junction of London Road, Silver Street, Church Street and Southbury Road, not to mention those in Genotin Road. Few people walk in these spaces and yet the traffic becomes log-jammed.

There are many other examples of Enfield Council acting to prejudice our lives and futures.

This is what people’s Council Taxes are funding: elimination of their freedom and contributing to their own impoverishment while suffering attacks on their physical, mental and spiritual well-being, thus reducing their ability to resist.

I will not fund this but continue to pay one third of the Council Tax demanded, because I acknowledge that some services remain relatively unscathed, until such time as this madness ceases.

Yours sincerely

Clive Menzies